

0:0:0.0 --> 0:0:0.320

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:0:1.310 --> 0:0:2.360

Neal, Barbara

Morning everybody.

0:0:2.430 --> 0:0:7.40

Neal, Barbara

I'm calling this meeting of the Public Safety Communications Task force to order.

0:0:7.50 --> 0:0:9.660

Neal, Barbara

It is 1118.

0:0:10.30 --> 0:0:13.460

Neal, Barbara

On July 19th, 2023 and I am Barbara Neil.

0:0:14.30 --> 0:0:17.260

Neal, Barbara

As a reminder, we're going to record this meeting.

0:0:17.270 --> 0:0:23.890

Neal, Barbara

Callie has started the recording, and that recording will be posted on the web page following the meeting.

0:0:25.160 --> 0:0:27.850

Neal, Barbara

So let's start with a roll call of Task Force members.

0:0:27.860 --> 0:0:29.610

Neal, Barbara

I can see all of you, but I will.

0:0:29.620 --> 0:0:31.530

Neal, Barbara

Just acknowledge that you're here.

0:0:31.600 --> 0:0:32.370

Neal, Barbara

Good morning, Paul.

0:0:33.450 --> 0:0:33.870

White, Paul

Good morning.

0:0:34.700 --> 0:0:37.60

Neal, Barbara
Morning, Michael Wright.

0:0:39.350 --> 0:0:39.620

Michael Wright
Morning.

0:0:41.270 --> 0:0:42.740

Neal, Barbara
Ron Krumitz good morning.

0:0:43.250 --> 0:0:43.620

Ron Kumetz (Guest)
Morning.

0:0:45.350 --> 0:0:48.280

Neal, Barbara
And uh mayor Dungeness.

0:0:48.800 --> 0:0:49.240

Michael Doenges
Good morning.

0:0:50.620 --> 0:0:51.500

Neal, Barbara
Excellent.

0:0:51.980 --> 0:0:56.30

Neal, Barbara
And I just want to see is Jim Mack on the line?

0:1:0.530 --> 0:1:0.940

Neal, Barbara
OK.

0:1:1.550 --> 0:1:8.30

Neal, Barbara
So Jim Max not present Kelly and neither, of course, is Commissioner Morrison.

0:1:8.40 --> 0:1:12.0

Neal, Barbara
She's not able to be with us today because of the ongoing recovery efforts.

0:1:12.970 --> 0:1:27.100

Neal, Barbara
I'll also point out that also present, of course, is Kelly Audette, who's doing our administrative support for this task force, and Tucker Jones, who is from the Department of Public Safety's legal team.

0:1:27.190 --> 0:1:27.860

Neal, Barbara
Team.

0:1:28.430 --> 0:1:29.540

Neal, Barbara
So welcome, Tucker.

0:1:30.150 --> 0:1:34.250

Neal, Barbara
And it looks like we have a few members of the public.

0:1:36.260 --> 0:1:38.250

Neal, Barbara
Philip Sisk is with us.

0:1:38.260 --> 0:1:40.540

Neal, Barbara
Philip, do you want to introduce yourself and your affiliation?

0:1:42.580 --> 0:1:43.280

Phillip Sisk
I yes.

0:1:43.290 --> 0:1:44.470

Phillip Sisk
Good morning, Phillip Sisk.

0:1:44.480 --> 0:1:46.250

Phillip Sisk
I am with mission critical partners.

0:1:46.600 --> 0:1:55.400

Phillip Sisk
We are a public safety consulting firm and I am reaching out to you from Providence, RI, today.

0:1:55.690 --> 0:1:58.10

Phillip Sisk
So thank you very much for the opportunity to be virtual.

0:1:59.520 --> 0:2:0.80

Neal, Barbara
You're welcome.

0:2:0.90 --> 0:2:1.300

Neal, Barbara
Thanks for joining us.

0:2:1.380 --> 0:2:2.140

Neal, Barbara
Uh kimchi.

0:2:5.440 --> 0:2:6.40

Kimberly Cheney
Good morning.

0:2:8.60 --> 0:2:23.110

Kimberly Cheney
Home a long time member of the now apparently defunct Public Safety Authority in Barrie and Montpelier with the abiding interest in this the problem.

0:2:25.650 --> 0:2:26.90

Neal, Barbara
Thank you for.

0:2:25.610 --> 0:2:30.390

Kimberly Cheney
So look forward to hearing discussion.

0:2:31.490 --> 0:2:31.980

Neal, Barbara
Excellent.

0:2:31.990 --> 0:2:33.100

Neal, Barbara
Well, thank you for joining us.

0:2:33.170 --> 0:2:35.910

Neal, Barbara
Is there anybody else from the public who would like to introduce themselves?

0:2:37.460 --> 0:2:38.970

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda
Steve Whittaker, if you can hear me.

0:2:40.350 --> 0:2:41.900

Neal, Barbara
Yes, we can, Steven.

0:2:42.290 --> 0:2:42.730

Neal, Barbara
Good morning.

0:2:44.280 --> 0:2:44.750

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda
Type.

0:2:45.770 --> 0:2:55.480

Neal, Barbara

Umm, before we get started, I do wanna take a moment to speak directly to Mayor Dungeness and extend my personal condolences.

0:2:55.630 --> 0:3:6.350

Neal, Barbara

Those of the 911 board, and I'm certain those of this task force to you, mayor and your community as you continue to grieve the tragic loss of officer Ebbighausen.

0:3:7.90 --> 0:3:11.770

Neal, Barbara

Earlier this month, our thoughts and sympathies are with you and your community.

0:3:17.70 --> 0:3:23.200

Neal, Barbara

OK, moving on then to approval of the agenda and or proposed changes to the agenda.

0:3:23.710 --> 0:3:34.370

Neal, Barbara

I will say that I'm going to defer the conversation on the congressionally directed funding agenda item until the next meeting, when Commissioner Morrison can be with us.

0:3:34.810 --> 0:3:45.70

Neal, Barbara

This topic is very much in her wheelhouse and not so much in mind, and I feel it's appropriate to wait until she can be present to to engage on that conversation.

0:3:46.150 --> 0:4:0.650

Neal, Barbara

And also on the agenda item entitled Public Public Records request process that will include our discussion of the draft confidentiality policy that was distributed to Task Force members yesterday.

0:4:2.230 --> 0:4:6.670

Neal, Barbara

So do task force members have any other changes to the agenda?

0:4:11.760 --> 0:4:19.740

Neal, Barbara

OK, hearing none, let's move on to approval of the June 28th 2023 meeting minutes.

0:4:20.820 --> 0:4:21.20

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

It's.

0:4:20.100 --> 0:4:34.470

Neal, Barbara

So the meeting or the minutes rather from the June 28th meeting were distributed to Task Force

members last week, which actually I think means the week before last at this point and are also available on the task force web page.

0:4:35.40 --> 0:4:38.110

Neal, Barbara

Is there a motion to approve the draft minutes?

0:4:39.400 --> 0:4:39.640

White, Paul

But.

0:4:39.70 --> 0:4:40.940

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

I'll make a motion to approve the Minutes.

0:4:41.720 --> 0:4:42.280

White, Paul

I'll second.

0:4:42.990 --> 0:4:43.420

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:4:43.530 --> 0:4:46.320

Neal, Barbara

The motion by Ron and the second by Paul.

0:4:46.330 --> 0:4:46.980

Neal, Barbara

Thank you.

0:4:47.30 --> 0:4:47.940

Neal, Barbara

Is there any discussion?

0:4:49.220 --> 0:4:49.810

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

The.

0:4:51.50 --> 0:4:51.460

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:4:56.70 --> 0:4:56.360

White, Paul

I.

0:4:51.510 --> 0:4:57.690

Neal, Barbara

All in favor, say aye or raise their hand? Yep.

0:4:57.870 --> 0:4:58.180

Michael Wright

Aye.

0:4:59.240 --> 0:4:59.700

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

Aye.

0:5:2.160 --> 0:5:2.280

Neal, Barbara

Hey.

0:5:4.500 --> 0:5:5.340

Neal, Barbara

Anyone opposed?

0:5:8.590 --> 0:5:9.330

Neal, Barbara

Any abstentions?

0:5:12.770 --> 0:5:13.990

Neal, Barbara

The Minutes are approved.

0:5:14.380 --> 0:5:14.810

White, Paul

That's different.

0:5:15.240 --> 0:5:15.380

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Hey.

0:5:14.470 --> 0:5:15.540

Neal, Barbara

You're all set with that.

0:5:15.580 --> 0:5:16.40

Neal, Barbara

Cali.

0:5:16.250 --> 0:5:17.80

Neal, Barbara

As far as OK.

0:5:18.900 --> 0:5:25.190

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Hey, Barb, could I ask some somebody to email me that draft confidentiality policy?

0:5:25.200 --> 0:5:27.300

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

So I can read it leading up to that discussion.

0:5:28.470 --> 0:5:29.300

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

See what it there.

0:5:28.100 --> 0:5:29.970

Neal, Barbara

You should have received it. You.

0:5:29.980 --> 0:5:32.130

Neal, Barbara

Yes, Steven, you should have received it from me this morning.

0:5:32.140 --> 0:5:32.950

Neal, Barbara

Just a little while ago.

0:5:34.770 --> 0:5:35.110

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Ohh.

0:5:36.670 --> 0:5:38.800

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

I will look thanks.

0:5:43.320 --> 0:5:43.510

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Yeah.

0:5:44.740 --> 0:5:51.390

Neal, Barbara

OK, so as mentioned the the next agenda item, congressionally directed funds discussion is deferred until the next meeting.

0:5:51.960 --> 0:5:55.920

Neal, Barbara

So let's move on to public Records request process.

0:5:58.170 --> 0:6:24.130

Neal, Barbara

So we consulted we being Commissioner Morrison and I consulted with both the Department of Public Safety's legal team as well as the 9114 Board's legal counsel, and have confirmed that it's within the Task Force Authority to require all public records request be referred first to the Co chairs and then process using the existing procedures already in place at the Department of Public Safety.

0:6:24.140 --> 0:6:53.240

Neal, Barbara

The Department of Public Safety, so to address that topic and also incorporate that the earlier discussion we had about the possibility of non-disclosure agreements, the DPS legal team, again in consultation with the Board's legal counsel, created a draft confidentiality policy for your review discussion and ultimately approval hopefully via a vote today.

0:6:53.250 --> 0:6:59.360

Neal, Barbara

Today, so the draft policy was distributed yesterday to Task Force members.

0:6:59.370 --> 0:7:3.290

Neal, Barbara

I'm assuming and hoping that you have had a chance to review that.

0:7:4.530 --> 0:7:11.210

Neal, Barbara

Are there any questions or concerns or items to discuss about that policy from task force members?

0:7:14.830 --> 0:7:15.10

Neal, Barbara

Well.

0:7:15.970 --> 0:7:32.150

White, Paul

I not necessarily a question about the policy, but just something that you just said umm, during our first meeting, Commissioner Morrison made a point to say that this task force is its own entity is not an extension of the Department of Public Safety.

0:7:32.160 --> 0:7:42.170

White, Paul

It's not an extension of the 911 board, so you just said a minute ago that it's that we need to follow the policy of the Department of Public Safety when it comes to public records requests.

0:7:42.180 --> 0:7:43.360

White, Paul

And I'm not sure I agree with that.

0:7:59.730 --> 0:7:59.970

White, Paul

Right.

0:7:44.690 --> 0:8:10.980

Neal, Barbara

OK, I think I think the part that might be missing there and the the assumption we're operating under the Commissioner and I are that the legislative language said that the Department of Public Safety was to provide the administrative support for this task force, UMM, and a part of that administrative support could certainly be the handling of public records requests.

0:8:11.590 --> 0:8:12.500

Neal, Barbara

It in my view.

0:8:12.950 --> 0:8:13.230

White, Paul

OK.

0:8:13.0 --> 0:8:17.0

Neal, Barbara

Umm, so that's sort of the thinking that got us to that.

0:8:19.340 --> 0:8:29.470

White, Paul

I mean, I think that by virtue of the fact that our minutes and our records are all stored on a website that's maintained by the Department of Public Safety, that makes sense.

0:8:29.480 --> 0:8:48.460

White, Paul

That DPS would provide the have the actual dissemination for us, but I still think to any requests should come to this task force or at least this the chairs of this task force for decision, not necessarily not necessarily decided by DPS policy, but yeah.

0:8:47.270 --> 0:8:52.700

Neal, Barbara

Yes, I I I understand now what the the, the finer point you're making here.

0:8:54.180 --> 0:8:54.300

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

But.

0:9:9.160 --> 0:9:9.400

White, Paul

OK.

0:9:19.780 --> 0:9:19.980

White, Paul

Right.

0:9:25.260 --> 0:9:25.460

White, Paul

OK.

0:8:52.770 --> 0:9:26.480

Neal, Barbara

So my understanding and Tucker, you can jump in here if I am way off base, but my understanding and the reading of that confidentiality policy is that decisions about whether or not something is public or not public are left to the task force and they make that determination in, in some cases that would likely

be in consultation with legal because we do have to follow the public records law a but the the IT but it comes to the task force first for that consideration.

0:9:27.50 --> 0:9:28.550

Neal, Barbara

And my am I on target Tucker?

0:9:29.770 --> 0:9:31.60

Jones, Tucker

Yeah, that's exactly right.

0:9:31.70 --> 0:10:4.110

Jones, Tucker

The task force as an entity and of itself, and you know, we tried to lay it out kind of a process by which that those decisions get funneled to the chairs and then the task force can make the determinations of whether to claim exemption in consultation with any other entity that may have an interest in the records, like, for example, you may be receiving records from not just the Department of Public Safety, but other agencies and departments in the state.

0:10:4.440 --> 0:10:15.930

Jones, Tucker

And there may be other interests that this group, or we might not know of, of potential claims of, of exemptions for nonpublic information.

0:10:15.940 --> 0:10:33.490

Jones, Tucker

So the attempt and the confidentiality policy is to create a process by which you can vet all of that, and then you have the support of the Department of Public Safety, Public Records team, you know, as you need it to do that.

0:10:35.730 --> 0:10:36.120

Neal, Barbara

Great.

0:10:35.890 --> 0:10:36.270

White, Paul

OK.

0:10:36.280 --> 0:10:36.630

White, Paul

Thank you.

0:10:36.310 --> 0:10:36.670

Neal, Barbara

Thank you.

0:10:39.810 --> 0:10:41.750

Neal, Barbara

Uh, all set on that point, Tom.

0:10:42.140 --> 0:10:42.850

White, Paul

Yes, thank you.

0:10:42.930 --> 0:10:43.500

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:10:44.50 --> 0:10:51.900

Neal, Barbara

Are there any other comments items for discussion from Task Force members on the confidentiality confidentiality policy?

0:10:54.130 --> 0:11:31.90

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

So the only thing that is any concern in my eyes was the as you as you barbute were you involved at all or were you aware of the process for the RFP for the the Lifeline system that was done a number of years back where the original vendor had quoted done a system and then the whole entire RFP was thrown out and there was another one and in the process there was a public records request and the original vendor claimed that virtually everything was a trade secret including the prices.

0:11:32.460 --> 0:11:47.810

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

I think that that we as an entity need to be able to that potential vendors claims of trade secrets because that you know something like that is just absurd.

0:11:47.820 --> 0:11:49.70

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

You're what you're charging for?

0:11:49.80 --> 0:11:50.580

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

Something is not a trade secret.

0:11:53.630 --> 0:11:57.470

Neal, Barbara

I I was not involved in that Lifeline procurement that you mentioned.

0:11:59.740 --> 0:12:22.500

Neal, Barbara

My experience with the RFP process and procurement process through the 911 board has always been when those types of questions come up, if there is a, if there is a concern, we we consult with our legal counsel, make sure that we are in a in alignment or following I guess more than in alignment with where following the Vermont public records law.

0:12:22.870 --> 0:12:30.70

Neal, Barbara

I would anticipate if we have those kinds of questions come up in this setting, we might also seek the legal consultation.

0:12:31.130 --> 0:12:35.690

Neal, Barbara

Umm does that sort of answer your question?

0:12:36.760 --> 0:12:45.420

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

It it does I I mean I I think that we we just need to be aware that umm, just because of vendor says something is a trade secret.

0:12:45.430 --> 0:12:49.950

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

Doesn't really mean it's a trade secret and and be ready to address that.

0:12:52.490 --> 0:12:53.740

Neal, Barbara

OK, so noted.

0:12:55.980 --> 0:12:58.330

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Barbie, you gonna take public comment on this topic?

0:12:58.340 --> 0:13:1.460

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Cause this is near and dear to me.

0:13:2.340 --> 0:13:13.450

Neal, Barbara

Yes, I I will allow public comment on this topic in a moment once I know that all the task force Members have had their questions or concerns or points considered.

0:13:13.460 --> 0:13:15.560

Neal, Barbara

Is there any other task force member comment on this?

0:13:20.50 --> 0:13:21.240

Neal, Barbara

OK, Tucker.

0:13:23.70 --> 0:13:29.290

Jones, Tucker

I I wonder if now is a good time to discuss the email portion of of this. Uh.

0:13:30.250 --> 0:13:38.380

Neal, Barbara

Actually, good point because I do have a note on mine that that's what I wanted to comment on and I just completely went by and on my notes, so sorry about that.

0:13:38.590 --> 0:14:11.260

Neal, Barbara

I I guess and maybe you'll have more to add to this, Tucker, but on the the the section in the confidentiality policy that speaks about the use of vermont.gov or partner dot at vermont.gov email addresses for those of you who don't already have a vermont.gov email address, we will be working on getting those, the partner email addresses set up immediately following this meeting assuming adoption or a approval rather of this confidentiality policy.

0:14:11.370 --> 0:14:19.900

Neal, Barbara

So you would then get some a a notification about that email being set up and ready to go, and instructions on how to use it.

0:14:20.710 --> 0:14:23.50

Neal, Barbara

So that was my comment, Tucker, to have something further on that.

0:14:24.150 --> 0:14:26.980

Jones, Tucker

Yeah, I'll just kind of provide a little bit of the context.

0:14:27.50 --> 0:14:27.310

Neal, Barbara

Umm.

0:14:27.310 --> 0:14:28.600

Jones, Tucker

I think there may be.

0:14:28.610 --> 0:15:4.800

Jones, Tucker

I think it's four task force members that may have municipal or other email addresses, and when we spoke with the Attorney General's office and ADS, there's a recommendation that Task force records relating to Task force business be disseminated it on approved email through vermont.gov or partner.vermont.gov email addresses in large part because of this broader a concept that this group may be receiving nonpublic information.

0:15:5.10 --> 0:15:5.360

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

OK.

0:15:4.810 --> 0:15:37.330

Jones, Tucker

This group may be receiving nonpublic information, and so the agency for digital services can set up partner.vermont.gov email addresses in and that would be the address that any materials relating to the task force would be sent for you, and it would be a new, essentially a new email account that you would have a email address and a password, and that's what you'd have to log into to get the emails related to the task force.

0:15:37.960 --> 0:15:43.810

Jones, Tucker

So it's somewhat burdensome to add something new, but that was the recommendation.

0:15:43.820 --> 0:15:51.170

Jones, Tucker

So we added that in there that you would use that type of email to communicate about task force business.

0:15:54.720 --> 0:15:55.70

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:15:55.80 --> 0:15:55.480

Neal, Barbara

Thank you.

0:15:58.160 --> 0:15:59.430

Neal, Barbara

Any other questions?

0:15:59.500 --> 0:16:0.930

Neal, Barbara

Comments from Task Force members.

0:16:5.90 --> 0:16:9.0

Neal, Barbara

OK, Steven, go ahead with your public comment on this topic.

0:16:10.290 --> 0:16:28.970

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Well, I just wanna reiterate a point I made at the last meeting is that the Department of Public Safety's legal team has stalled a records request for pre regional dispatch work group SharePoint folder for more than 14 or 15 months now.

0:16:28.980 --> 0:16:36.800

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Now so they in no way are capable of timely responses for records request to this task force.

0:16:37.260 --> 0:17:3.520

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

I would encourage this task force to get its own legal counsel to advise and of your of the obligation of individual Members of this task force to respond to public records requests at, for instance, Mayor Dungeness or, you know, select board member, select board, Chair, White or any of those people who are receiving emails.

0:17:5.790 --> 0:17:20.520

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Or documents that are not exempt from public records under, like a pending RFP, you know exemption

or something should be honoring public records requests and clearly can do it in a more timely manner than the department.

0:17:20.750 --> 0:17:27.710

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

So I totally object to the idea that you're in effect handing as a almost fate accompli.

0:17:27.720 --> 0:17:37.910

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

This confidentiality agreement, which is being consistent with public records law, and I can't even open the document that Barb didn't send me until this morning.

0:17:38.430 --> 0:17:46.940

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Uh, I get an error code and to get rid of the error message I do see it in the background, but to get rid of the error message it closes the document.

0:17:47.110 --> 0:18:4.60

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

So this is this is a very important issue and the task force needs to make sure it doesn't derail or subvert this whole process by catering to the administration's, uh, unresponsive public records.

0:18:4.470 --> 0:18:6.230

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

I'll use as another example.

0:18:6.880 --> 0:18:8.740

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Uh, the governors?

0:18:8.750 --> 0:18:10.30

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Emergency communications.

0:18:10.770 --> 0:18:12.790

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Uh, what's it called?

0:18:13.370 --> 0:18:14.60

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

G pack.

0:18:14.70 --> 0:18:16.890

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Governor's emergency anyway.

0:18:17.90 --> 0:18:17.720

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Barb.

0:18:17.730 --> 0:18:27.0

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Neil, that your Co chair here even refuses to provide the records of that emergency preparedness, communications agendas and and minutes.

0:18:27.370 --> 0:18:40.520

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

So the this task force is work is so huge and so important that you really need to get this foundation level straight and not uh, throw a wet blanket on the whole.

0:18:40.570 --> 0:18:53.880

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

And and frankly in Pune, the credibility of the process by adopting these kind of railroaded secrecy provisions that only the administration is promoting, none of the Members have asked for this.

0:18:54.330 --> 0:18:56.930

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

So I'll leave it at that.

0:18:56.940 --> 0:19:4.850

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

I do need a copy to review thoroughly before the discussion and not as at the time of the meeting.

0:19:5.230 --> 0:19:9.560

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Umm, with the document that won't stay open on a smart phone.

0:19:10.450 --> 0:19:10.840

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Thank you.

0:19:12.190 --> 0:19:13.150

Neal, Barbara

Thank you for your comments.

0:19:13.160 --> 0:19:26.500

Neal, Barbara

Steven Kelly, could you forward a copy of the confidentiality policy draft to Steven and see if it works better from your uh when you send it? Umm.

0:19:27.260 --> 0:19:41.130

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

And also ask for it to be put table to a subsequent meeting because I won't have had time to review it while I'm listening on the same smartphone, Wi-Fi is down all over Montpelier, Montpelier City, etcetera.

0:19:41.140 --> 0:19:43.430

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

So thank you.

0:19:42.340 --> 0:19:43.800

Neal, Barbara

Thank you for your comment, Steven.

0:19:45.0 --> 0:19:55.260

Neal, Barbara

I'm gonna go back to task force members and see if we are ready for a motion to approve the confidentiality policy.

0:20:0.620 --> 0:20:0.870

Neal, Barbara

Stop.

0:20:1.700 --> 0:20:8.650

White, Paul

Umm, just in rereading it again, I guess I've to to part of Stevens Point.

0:20:9.90 --> 0:20:15.490

White, Paul

I guess I I agree that the Department of Public Safety is not the custodian of records.

0:20:15.500 --> 0:20:20.620

White, Paul

So DPS legal staff shouldn't be making decisions about what is released and what is not.

0:20:21.620 --> 0:20:21.800

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

You're.

0:20:32.840 --> 0:20:33.20

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Just.

0:20:38.690 --> 0:20:39.30

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

OK.

0:20:20.630 --> 0:20:48.380

White, Paul

It release they should just be advising the task force, but then that leads me to the my question is the the proposed policy paragraph number one second sentence says that the task force is the custodian of records, so that would imply that if the task force and we all make up the task force, that would imply that the task force would have to vote on each individual records request and a majority rule.

0:21:0.470 --> 0:21:0.840

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

I don't know.

0:21:1.20 --> 0:21:1.320

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Thanks.

0:21:3.440 --> 0:21:3.720

Neal, Barbara

Right.

0:20:51.290 --> 0:21:4.90

White, Paul

If there are, if there is some question as to whether or not something is public record that should be released, would that require a task force vote on every public records request since the test since the task force as a whole is considered the custodian?

0:21:7.930 --> 0:21:8.120

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Yep.

0:21:11.700 --> 0:21:11.850

White, Paul

OK.

0:21:5.490 --> 0:21:12.60

Neal, Barbara

So I would expect yes, unless the task force wants to direct the chairs to make that decision.

0:21:12.190 --> 0:21:25.570

Neal, Barbara

Perhaps at some point the task board is very clear in this policy to me that the task force is the custodian of the records, but the and the overriding thing is we have to align with public records law, right?

0:21:25.890 --> 0:21:26.850

White, Paul

Exactly right.

0:21:25.580 --> 0:21:27.430

Neal, Barbara

So it's not that so the.

0:21:44.530 --> 0:21:44.740

White, Paul

Right.

0:21:27.500 --> 0:21:46.460

Neal, Barbara

So the task force cannot decide that something is public if it is, if it is exempt from disclosure under the public records law, and that is where, at least in in my opinion and my experience, it's really good to check with legal if there is any any question on that.

0:21:58.110 --> 0:21:58.380

White, Paul

Umm.

0:21:47.270 --> 0:22:5.500

Neal, Barbara

But I think that that because CPS is is supposed to be requiring the administrative support for this, the logical place to go is for DPS legal to consider that though I will point out that I have had ongoing conversations with the board's legal counsel from the Attorney General's office.

0:22:5.510 --> 0:22:12.920

Neal, Barbara

'S office and I'm aware that he has also been involved in the conversations, including to develop this policy.

0:22:12.930 --> 0:22:22.390

Neal, Barbara

So you know, if that it's so, it's perhaps a little bit different than the typical framework for, umm, DPS legal involvement.

0:22:25.10 --> 0:22:32.460

White, Paul

Well, with that said, you know I've I don't have any major problems with the proposed policy, and if it comes to a vote, I will support it.

0:22:32.470 --> 0:22:34.880

White, Paul

But I also would not be opposed.

0:22:35.310 --> 0:22:37.890

White, Paul

You know, Steven had asked that it be table till next.

0:22:38.490 --> 0:22:42.860

White, Paul

Next meeting and I don't see that we're gonna be discussing anything confidential today.

0:22:42.870 --> 0:22:47.170

White, Paul

So if the majority wanted to table it till next meeting, I'm fine with that too.

0:22:47.180 --> 0:22:47.780

White, Paul

I'm go either way.

0:22:52.990 --> 0:22:53.150

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:22:56.60 --> 0:22:57.640

Neal, Barbara

What say you task force?

0:22:59.990 --> 0:23:1.770

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

Umm I I would tend to agree with Paul.

0:23:2.810 --> 0:23:3.50

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:23:5.870 --> 0:23:17.880

Neal, Barbara

The one thing that I will just put out there for consideration is, umm, establishment of those partner.vermont.gov or whatever it is.

0:23:17.890 --> 0:23:33.370

Neal, Barbara

Email addresses needs to precede the distribution of the draft RFP and so the creation of those document of those email addresses would come after the approval of a policy.

0:23:38.550 --> 0:23:38.870

Michael Doenges

The way.

0:23:33.380 --> 0:23:41.260

Neal, Barbara

So we're introducing which may be fine, but I'm pointing out that we will be introducing A1 week a one week delay if you will.

0:23:42.920 --> 0:23:52.740

Neal, Barbara

But if that is the if, that is the uh task force view, then I I think we should have a motion and a vote to make that decision to to defer.

0:23:55.830 --> 0:23:57.40

Neal, Barbara

Tucker, do you have anything to add?

0:23:57.50 --> 0:23:58.450

Neal, Barbara

You look like you wanted to say something.

0:23:59.730 --> 0:24:0.500

Neal, Barbara

Uh, OK.

0:23:59.420 --> 0:24:0.630

Jones, Tucker

No, no.

0:24:0.800 --> 0:24:14.80

Jones, Tucker

You know, I I I can ask if you one I can see if ADS can get the ball rolling on creating those email accounts if the group if you all would like.

0:24:15.210 --> 0:24:15.490

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:24:15.280 --> 0:24:25.930

Jones, Tucker

Pending your continued review of this policy, so it's, you know, kind of ready or in place for next week or whenever you meet next, if you if you all would like.

0:24:27.120 --> 0:24:39.140

Neal, Barbara

You know, that may be a good idea because my understanding from conversations with you, Tucker earlier and also a DS, that that can take a few days, their official turn around time on that is 2 weeks.

0:24:39.150 --> 0:24:45.920

Neal, Barbara

Although I'm hopeful it could be expedited and I think that that that may be that may be possible.

0:24:45.930 --> 0:24:53.650

Neal, Barbara

So I'd suggest if we wanted to further decision on the policy that we at least go ahead and create those email addresses.

0:24:56.260 --> 0:25:11.750

White, Paul

Yes, I I hate to go backwards, but if if hunting this policy until the next meeting is going to result in an another week or two week delay in the task force, getting to see the draft RFP, then I guess I would want to move forward with it today.

0:25:19.590 --> 0:25:22.20

Neal, Barbara

Do we have a motion for any of the above?

0:25:20.660 --> 0:25:23.350

White, Paul

So I will, I will. I will move.

0:25:23.430 --> 0:25:30.840

White, Paul

I will move that we adopt the confidentiality confidentiality policy as presented to the task force on today's date.

0:25:33.880 --> 0:25:34.740

Neal, Barbara

Do I have a second?

0:25:40.820 --> 0:25:41.390

Michael Doenges

I'll second it.

0:25:43.40 --> 0:25:47.70

Neal, Barbara

Like, don't just second set any further discussion.

0:25:50.620 --> 0:25:59.210

Michael Doenges

The only I do have one thing and I and I don't know if it's appropriate at this time or not, so please feel free to, you know, tell me to be quiet if it doesn't fit, but yeah.

0:25:59.220 --> 0:25:59.530

Michael Doenges

Umm.

0:26:0.0 --> 0:26:19.900

Michael Doenges

And and I don't wanna waste any any dollars on this but it if there is, you know the opportunity or a conflict comes up when it comes to resolving what should and shouldn't be put to umm to public is there is there there are funds available for a third party attorney to take a look at whether that public records request fits or not correct.

0:26:22.900 --> 0:26:26.180

Neal, Barbara

Umm I am thinking back to the legislative language.

0:26:30.130 --> 0:26:31.640

Neal, Barbara

I don't know that I know the answer to that.

0:26:32.220 --> 0:26:32.490

Michael Doenges

OK.

0:26:33.170 --> 0:26:48.460

Neal, Barbara

I know that that there there are funds available for project managers and any other subject matter experts that the task force may need to complete its work, which may be that could fall under it.

0:26:48.470 --> 0:26:50.350

Neal, Barbara

I'm not quoting directly from the language.

0:26:53.170 --> 0:26:56.100

Neal, Barbara

Tucker, are you familiar enough with that to offer a comment?

0:26:56.110 --> 0:26:58.150

Neal, Barbara

I mean, I just, I don't know the answer.

0:27:0.370 --> 0:27:6.230

Jones, Tucker

I'm not sure if the enabling legislation specifically, you know, creates that that pool of funds.

0:27:6.240 --> 0:27:11.560

Jones, Tucker

I I just don't know, but I, you know, I know you raise an interesting point.

0:27:11.570 --> 0:27:12.900

Jones, Tucker

So my name's Tucker Jones.

0:27:12.910 --> 0:27:16.260

Jones, Tucker

I'm assistant general counsel at the Department of Public Safety.

0:27:16.270 --> 0:27:17.250

Jones, Tucker

I'm a state employee.

0:27:18.700 --> 0:27:23.400

Jones, Tucker

I you know, we try to help where where we can and and we all will always do that.

0:27:23.410 --> 0:27:32.560

Jones, Tucker

But in one, in a stricter sense, my client is the Commissioner of the Department of Public Safety, who happens to be the Co chair of this group.

0:27:33.890 --> 0:27:40.520

Jones, Tucker

And you know, this group gets the administrative support of the Department of Public Safety.

0:27:40.530 --> 0:27:43.940

Jones, Tucker

So you get an idea of the resources we have and the Commissioner's Office etcetera.

0:27:43.950 --> 0:27:44.400

Jones, Tucker
Etcetera.

0:27:44.410 --> 0:27:46.800

Jones, Tucker
And so we try to help out.

0:27:46.850 --> 0:27:54.280

Jones, Tucker
And then just separately, Barb Neil is the chair of the 911 board who gets legal assistance.

0:27:54.290 --> 0:27:56.760

Jones, Tucker
That board gets legal assistance, not this group.

0:27:56.830 --> 0:27:59.410

Jones, Tucker
But that board gets legal assistance from the Attorney General's office.

0:27:59.420 --> 0:27:59.820

Jones, Tucker
Office.

0:28:0.250 --> 0:28:9.50

Jones, Tucker
And so to this point, we've just collaboratively been working with the Attorney General's Office to try to help out.

0:28:9.60 --> 0:28:9.420

Jones, Tucker
Out.

0:28:17.460 --> 0:28:17.720

Michael Doenges
Right.

0:28:9.430 --> 0:28:47.240

Jones, Tucker
Essentially, Commissioner Morrison and and and barbs, so that's where things stand right now and it's a good question because if there were some conflict, for example, Commissioner Morrison had a different interest or view than the group in a strict sense, our client, my client, is the Commissioner and you know, we would certainly want to work through that, identify it early and be transparent with this group of what interests we are conveying to the group.

0:28:48.810 --> 0:29:3.920

Jones, Tucker
And you know the enabling legislation said the administrative support and the degree to which that includes legal advice and assistance is, you know, perhaps open to some interpretation.

0:29:4.430 --> 0:29:4.550

Michael Doenges
Yeah.

0:29:4.170 --> 0:29:18.400

Jones, Tucker

So you know, we're just trying to work collaboratively at this point to help you all, but in one sense, the task force and the individual members of it are not strictly my client as an attorney.

0:29:19.130 --> 0:29:26.70

Jones, Tucker

And we would wanna resolve that early if the group had an issue or perceived an issue.

0:29:27.430 --> 0:29:29.920

Michael Doenges

Uh, do you mind if I follow that up real quick?

0:29:29.790 --> 0:29:30.280

Neal, Barbara

No, go ahead.

0:29:30.670 --> 0:29:35.40

Michael Doenges

So so I think the the you know my, I appreciate that Tucker.

0:29:35.50 --> 0:29:37.60

Michael Doenges

I think that's actually a great place for us to be.

0:29:37.70 --> 0:29:44.500

Michael Doenges

It's it's nice that everybody's working together and actually don't foresee any potential conflicts because I do think everybody has the best of intentions here.

0:29:45.10 --> 0:29:56.780

Michael Doenges

I think to my thought process is to Stevens Point, if they're, if they're does become a conflict and deciding what can and can't be released for public viewing, having the opportunity to send that to an outside console console.

0:29:56.790 --> 0:29:59.440

Michael Doenges

If there is, that conflict might be something we wanna consider.

0:30:0.20 --> 0:30:7.420

Michael Doenges

It doesn't have to be in the policy, but definitely in our decision making process as we move forward that would that would that's just kind of what I was thinking.

0:30:10.530 --> 0:30:10.690

Neal, Barbara
OK.

0:30:11.790 --> 0:30:14.450

Neal, Barbara
Thank you, Paul.

0:30:14.460 --> 0:30:16.390

Neal, Barbara
Paul, hold on, please.

0:30:10.960 --> 0:30:16.870

Ron Kumetz (Guest)
So on with with I'm I'm assuming that uh, sorry.

0:30:17.200 --> 0:30:17.610

White, Paul
I'm Sir.

0:30:16.480 --> 0:30:18.60

Neal, Barbara
Ohh, I'm sorry Ron, I didn't realize I was you.

0:30:20.980 --> 0:30:21.460

White, Paul
I'm sorry.

0:30:18.70 --> 0:30:22.110

Neal, Barbara
I I saw Paul's hand first at and then.

0:30:21.750 --> 0:30:22.480

White, Paul
Go ahead and run.

0:30:22.970 --> 0:30:24.340

White, Paul
Run, run hasn't spoken.

0:30:24.350 --> 0:30:24.610

White, Paul
Go ahead.

0:30:25.370 --> 0:30:42.610

Ron Kumetz (Guest)
I I just wanted to say, I mean if if holding if adopting the confidential policy confidentiality policy is gonna sort of hold up other things it is there any reason if we adopt policy and then find in the future that there's a problem with it, we can't revisit it.

0:30:43.210 --> 0:30:43.470

White, Paul

Correct.

0:30:45.260 --> 0:30:47.590

Neal, Barbara

I think that's well within the realm of possibility.

0:30:48.540 --> 0:30:53.950

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

So then I would say that we should just, you know, take a vote on adopting this and move forward.

0:30:53.960 --> 0:31:1.550

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

And if should it become a problem in the future, we can revisit it so that we don't, but a speed bump in our own progress.

0:31:4.890 --> 0:31:5.820

Neal, Barbara

OK. Thank you.

0:31:6.110 --> 0:31:7.110

Neal, Barbara

Uh, Paul, did you have?

0:31:7.120 --> 0:31:8.200

Neal, Barbara

And I do see you, Kim.

0:31:8.210 --> 0:31:9.440

Neal, Barbara

I'll call you in one second.

0:31:9.750 --> 0:31:10.830

Neal, Barbara

Paul, did you have any further comment?

0:31:9.980 --> 0:31:14.900

White, Paul

Yeah, yeah, I I agree with Ron and that we can always revisit this policy later.

0:31:15.170 --> 0:31:15.910

White, Paul

But also I just.

0:31:16.710 --> 0:31:30.990

White, Paul

At least a couple of us on this task force, if not more, have sat on previous, you know, boards or groups to evaluate granting of awarding of grants, of reviewing all kinds of different proposals.

0:31:31.180 --> 0:31:33.850

White, Paul

And we've done it with our municipal email addresses.

0:31:33.860 --> 0:31:34.290

White, Paul

I'm just.

0:31:34.300 --> 0:31:34.920

White, Paul

I don't.

0:31:34.960 --> 0:31:45.670

White, Paul

Not totally sure why this particular task force is requiring that we can only send and receive email communications using vermont.gov addresses.

0:31:45.680 --> 0:31:54.940

White, Paul

When I've sat on several others using my Berry Town municipal email address, I believe Michael has as well using his and so I've just not curious.

0:31:54.950 --> 0:32:1.60

White, Paul

Not sure why this particular task force has to be contained within the vermont.gov realms.

0:32:2.390 --> 0:32:9.860

Neal, Barbara

So so my understanding of that in conversations with our legal counsel from the board is it's really kind of a best practice.

0:32:15.170 --> 0:32:15.370

White, Paul

OK.

0:32:10.510 --> 0:32:16.830

Neal, Barbara

But beyond that, I I don't know much more of the of the reasoning behind that.

0:32:16.880 --> 0:32:19.20

Neal, Barbara

Tucker, do you have anything you can add?

0:32:19.430 --> 0:32:21.280

Jones, Tucker

I was gonna say the same thing.

0:32:21.370 --> 0:32:35.60

Jones, Tucker

I've just heard it was the best practice and I assume that it's because the state system is encrypted and so communications within the state system are encrypted. But as soon as you send or receive something from outside.

0:32:39.130 --> 0:32:39.240

White, Paul

And.

0:32:35.430 --> 0:32:39.930

Jones, Tucker

It's not, and that's a lay person's very vague assumption.

0:32:42.230 --> 0:32:43.630

White, Paul

OK, good.

0:32:41.490 --> 0:32:52.190

Neal, Barbara

And that makes perfect sense to actually, because there are additional steps that need to be sent or need to be put in place to send email securely outside of the state system.

0:32:52.300 --> 0:32:56.840

Neal, Barbara

And if you have one of these addresses, those steps are not necessary.

0:32:56.850 --> 0:33:2.670

Neal, Barbara

So things are more protected that answer your question, Paul.

0:33:1.510 --> 0:33:3.500

White, Paul

OK it does.

0:33:4.130 --> 0:33:7.90

Neal, Barbara

Like Kim Cheney, go ahead.

0:33:8.420 --> 0:33:9.500

Kimberly Cheney

Thank you.

0:33:9.760 --> 0:33:20.930

Kimberly Cheney

Probably you know, in another life I was a state attorney general and I wanna second the mayor and Mr.

0:33:20.940 --> 0:33:25.460

Kimberly Cheney

Jones comment that this task force get independent counsel.

0:33:26.620 --> 0:33:46.520

Kimberly Cheney

I can tell you just thinking and trying to coordinate municipalities and state and inner municipalities is going to be a minefield of controversy and it's not that the job can't be done, but it should be done independently.

0:33:46.710 --> 0:33:48.860

Kimberly Cheney

And I think Mr.

0:33:48.870 --> 0:33:55.750

Kimberly Cheney

Jones is absolutely right, and the mayor is good to bring it up and certainly.

0:33:58.340 --> 0:34:0.150

Kimberly Cheney

Purchasing services.

0:34:1.390 --> 0:34:9.270

Kimberly Cheney

Legal services fall within their purview of the and the task force, so thank you for listening.

0:34:12.50 --> 0:34:12.580

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:34:12.630 --> 0:34:13.770

Neal, Barbara

Thank you for your comments.

0:34:16.560 --> 0:34:20.530

Neal, Barbara

Anything else for under discussion on this from task force?

0:34:23.910 --> 0:34:25.220

Neal, Barbara

So we have a motion.

0:34:25.410 --> 0:34:31.540

Neal, Barbara

We have a second and let's go to a vote, so I'm gonna do a roll call.

0:34:31.550 --> 0:34:35.680

Neal, Barbara

I think this time because it's hard for me to see who's voting where.

0:34:35.750 --> 0:34:41.230

Neal, Barbara

So if we could, let's start with Ron Krumitz.

0:34:42.370 --> 0:34:43.150

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

I would vote yes.

0:34:44.0 --> 0:34:44.510

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:34:44.620 --> 0:34:45.410

Neal, Barbara

Thank you.

0:34:45.520 --> 0:34:49.60

Neal, Barbara

Michael Wright, I'm sorry.

0:34:50.380 --> 0:34:51.10

Michael Wright

Sorry, yes.

0:34:51.660 --> 0:34:52.130

Neal, Barbara

Yes.

0:34:52.140 --> 0:34:52.450

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:34:52.460 --> 0:34:54.270

Neal, Barbara

Thank you, Jim.

0:34:54.280 --> 0:34:55.950

Neal, Barbara

Mack is not here.

0:34:57.490 --> 0:34:57.640

Michael Doenges

Yes.

0:34:56.440 --> 0:34:59.240

Neal, Barbara

Mike Dungeness, they call.

0:34:59.700 --> 0:34:59.840

White, Paul

Yes.

0:35:1.520 --> 0:35:4.290

Neal, Barbara

And I vote yes as well.

0:35:6.110 --> 0:35:9.370

Neal, Barbara

We have everybody covered, Cali, OK?

0:35:9.460 --> 0:35:14.160

Neal, Barbara

Sounds like no one is opposed and no one is abstaining. Correct.

0:35:16.750 --> 0:35:17.320

Neal, Barbara

All right.

0:35:17.370 --> 0:35:25.620

Neal, Barbara

The confidentiality policy is proved so Callie, will you update that policy?

0:35:25.630 --> 0:35:39.10

Neal, Barbara

There's a place to fill in the the the date that it was approved, removed the watermark and post it to the web page, probably under resources or something that we might as well post it right up there.

0:35:39.640 --> 0:35:40.590

Audet, Cally

Yes, I can do that.

0:35:41.130 --> 0:35:41.600

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:35:42.100 --> 0:35:49.930

Neal, Barbara

And as we discussed Tucker, I think you can go ahead and initiate that well. You will.

0:35:49.940 --> 0:35:51.520

Neal, Barbara

Yes, because the the policy has been approved.

0:35:51.530 --> 0:35:53.460

Neal, Barbara

I'm sorry I got mixed up on where we were.

0:35:54.40 --> 0:36:7.950

Neal, Barbara

So we'll initiate those email addresses that need to be created right after this meeting, and people can expect to see instructions coming on that great, excellent.

0:36:8.200 --> 0:36:8.670

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:36:8.710 --> 0:36:13.920

Neal, Barbara

So moving on to the next agenda item is the RFP status.

0:36:15.330 --> 0:36:34.800

Neal, Barbara

So during the last meeting there was some discussion about there were concerns about some of the deadlines, some of the language and the in the legislation and reports that we're due, particularly reports due in January of 2024 and what they were to contain.

0:36:35.220 --> 0:36:36.840

Neal, Barbara

And so we went.

0:36:36.850 --> 0:36:54.180

Neal, Barbara

We again, as the Commissioner and I went back to the Chairs of the relevant committees at the legislature to get some clarification on what they're what the intent is, and I can circulate the email if you'd like.

0:36:54.190 --> 0:37:15.980

Neal, Barbara

But in a nutshell, umm, with the feedback we received is that the intent of the language is that there be one report in January of 2024 which provides a progress report on the data collection and analysis tasks outlined in the in the language.

0:37:16.510 --> 0:37:27.70

Neal, Barbara

Any pilot projects that might have been funded and any information on the beginnings of the work for designing the system.

0:37:28.420 --> 0:37:37.320

Neal, Barbara

So the way it was worded kind of made it look at least to some readers, that we were going to have to have a preliminary design in January 2024.

0:37:38.320 --> 0:37:39.950

Neal, Barbara

That is not their intent.

0:37:40.10 --> 0:37:59.0

Neal, Barbara

The the language or or the the intent according to this correspondence is that we report on the progress

towards all of these tasks that are outlined in there is no expectation that a system design will be anywhere near complete in January 2024.

0:38:0.660 --> 0:38:14.740

Neal, Barbara

So with all of that feedback, we went back to the internal team that is working on developing the core language of this RFP and an adjusted it to to make sure we were conveying that legislative intent.

0:38:15.790 --> 0:38:19.190

Neal, Barbara

Umm 2 potential vendors you know the concern.

0:38:19.200 --> 0:38:27.610

Neal, Barbara

As we discussed last time was with these very tight timelines, we may not get any any any vendors to respond.

0:38:27.840 --> 0:38:33.760

Neal, Barbara

So you will see that when you've had an opportunity to to review the RFP.

0:38:35.90 --> 0:38:35.700

Neal, Barbara

Umm.

0:38:38.710 --> 0:38:39.390

Neal, Barbara

Are there any?

0:38:40.50 --> 0:38:50.700

Neal, Barbara

Are there any questions on that part of it or anything else that folks would like me and or the Commissioner to follow up on with the legislature at this point?

0:38:53.840 --> 0:38:54.450

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:38:54.840 --> 0:38:57.140

Neal, Barbara

OK, so Speaking of the RFP.

0:39:6.160 --> 0:39:6.550

Michael Doenges

Hey, Gordon.

0:38:57.860 --> 0:39:6.650

Neal, Barbara

Umm that that internal work of developing the core stuff in the in the shell of the RFP is essentially complete.

0:39:8.240 --> 0:39:10.250

Neal, Barbara

Umm essentially complete.

0:39:10.380 --> 0:39:11.170

Neal, Barbara

Was there a question?

0:39:11.180 --> 0:39:11.470

Neal, Barbara

I'm sorry.

0:39:12.520 --> 0:39:14.330

Michael Doenges

No, no, sorry, I apologize.

0:39:14.220 --> 0:39:19.450

Neal, Barbara

Ah, OK, so the internal work is essentially complete on the RFP.

0:39:19.580 --> 0:39:27.850

Neal, Barbara

Once those partner at vermont.gov email addresses are established, we will be able to distribute that RFP to all Task force members.

0:39:27.940 --> 0:39:31.740

Neal, Barbara

I'm really hoping that we can get that process expedited.

0:39:32.930 --> 0:39:35.100

Neal, Barbara

I'll put on my little squeaky wheel hat.

0:39:35.110 --> 0:39:39.450

Neal, Barbara

The best that I can to see if we can get that really moved quickly.

0:39:42.370 --> 0:39:48.900

Neal, Barbara

My recommendation for uh, but we we also wanna give you adequate time obviously to review the RFP.

0:39:48.940 --> 0:40:3.150

Neal, Barbara

So my recommendation, when it comes time to setting a next meeting will be that we set one for next week in the hopes that we can get that RFP circulated to you and we can at least begin a discussion on it.

0:40:3.160 --> 0:40:5.480

Neal, Barbara

The content of it next week.

0:40:5.490 --> 0:40:11.630

Neal, Barbara

If for some reason if everything you know falls off the rails, then we can always move the meeting to the following week.

0:40:12.420 --> 0:40:14.430

Neal, Barbara

Ohm. So.

0:40:15.120 --> 0:40:18.890

Neal, Barbara

So then depending on the input.

0:40:22.520 --> 0:40:23.690

Neal, Barbara

Let me step back a little bit.

0:40:23.700 --> 0:40:32.780

Neal, Barbara

The core of the content of the RFP right now is really based upon what the requirements are in the legislative language, right?

0:40:32.790 --> 0:40:43.80

Neal, Barbara

These are things that we have been told we must do, and so we're going to get the subject matter expertise to come in here and and do it for us efficiently and effectively.

0:40:44.160 --> 0:40:52.830

Neal, Barbara

But there's also, so there's there's there may be some flexibility in how those things are worded, but we still need to get the work done.

0:40:53.240 --> 0:41:8.670

Neal, Barbara

There's also room for in the legislative language for the task force, of course, to have input on what else might we wanna be considering as we develop this plan or design for the public safety communication system?

0:41:9.130 --> 0:41:17.60

Neal, Barbara

So when you were reading the RFP, be thinking about that, right, what else might we need to know?

0:41:18.730 --> 0:41:42.340

Neal, Barbara

And then depending on on input from the task force and any further work that might need to happen to

fine tune the RFP and assuming we get those email addresses set up quickly, we're targeting right now like a mid August or August 9 or 10 actually as the date for the task force to finalize and approve that RFP.

0:41:43.330 --> 0:42:2.200

Neal, Barbara

If all of this comes together correctly or or as we are thinking, and then we would anticipate issuing that RFP very soon thereafter that like it'll be ready to go, umm, then there's a six week turn around time from whenever we issued the RFP to when we get the responses back.

0:42:2.210 --> 0:42:11.780

Neal, Barbara

There are some questions and answer periods that are built in there for vendors to ask questions that would put us on this timeline that I'm talking about right now.

0:42:11.790 --> 0:42:19.70

Neal, Barbara

At about September 20, for a value for responses to be due and then we begin the work of evaluating those responses.

0:42:20.500 --> 0:42:29.40

Neal, Barbara

Once you pick a vendor, of course you have contract negotiations, so you can just see where the the the timeline will extend out from there of a bit more.

0:42:29.920 --> 0:42:41.490

Neal, Barbara

Umm, so all of that said, we we wanna hear all your comments and all your input regardless of you know whether this is something we feel is in the legislative language or it's something that's coming in extra.

0:42:41.640 --> 0:42:44.190

Neal, Barbara

So so we will be.

0:42:45.660 --> 0:42:49.700

Neal, Barbara

Entertaining all that, all those comments as we move forward.

0:42:51.570 --> 0:42:52.180

Neal, Barbara

Questions.

0:42:52.190 --> 0:42:53.240

Neal, Barbara

Paul, I see your hand.

0:42:54.370 --> 0:42:54.820

White, Paul

Yeah.

0:42:54.830 --> 0:42:57.220

White, Paul

So just try to make sure I understand right.

0:43:3.490 --> 0:43:4.160

Neal, Barbara

The drip.

0:43:4.260 --> 0:43:4.790

Neal, Barbara

Yep, go ahead.

0:42:57.230 --> 0:43:15.670

White, Paul

So there's this, the draft of the RFP was done by a DS right in its and it's substantially done in the only real roadblock is so my understanding is there's only four members of the task force that don't already have a vermont.gov email address.

0:43:15.680 --> 0:43:24.460

White, Paul

Would it not be acceptable to just print 4 copies of the draft and mail it certified mail and we could have it within two days and be able to discuss it next Wednesday?

0:43:27.710 --> 0:43:31.900

Neal, Barbara

Umm, I don't know that I objected to that.

0:43:32.330 --> 0:43:34.0

Neal, Barbara

Tucker, do you see any concerns with that?

0:43:36.200 --> 0:43:37.440

Jones, Tucker

Send it by mail to everyone.

0:43:37.940 --> 0:43:38.140

Neal, Barbara

Yeah.

0:43:40.550 --> 0:43:40.980

White, Paul

I think it's.

0:43:39.110 --> 0:43:41.480

Jones, Tucker

Umm, no, I think it's.

0:43:41.670 --> 0:43:54.370

Jones, Tucker

I think it's gonna be marked as a draft and sorry I had to step off there for a second, but I maybe there was some discussion, but my understanding is there's been some discussion that these draft RFP's are themselves non public.

0:43:54.380 --> 0:43:57.610

Jones, Tucker

So this would be the first document that you're receiving.

0:43:57.620 --> 0:44:4.280

Jones, Tucker

That would be considered nonpublic, since it's still in draft form and hasn't been, uh, published yet.

0:44:5.340 --> 0:44:8.820

Jones, Tucker

Umm, but I I don't see a.

0:44:9.190 --> 0:44:10.710

Jones, Tucker

You know, I I don't see a problem with that.

0:44:16.150 --> 0:44:16.510

Neal, Barbara

Right.

0:44:12.750 --> 0:44:16.920

Jones, Tucker

Since you adopted your confidentiality policy today, so you kind of you.

0:44:17.490 --> 0:44:17.810

White, Paul

Right.

0:44:17.850 --> 0:44:18.120

White, Paul

I don't.

0:44:18.130 --> 0:44:22.110

White, Paul

I mean, I don't think certified mail is any less vulnerable than email.

0:44:23.290 --> 0:44:24.40

Neal, Barbara

Right.

0:44:24.250 --> 0:44:24.630

Neal, Barbara

Right.

0:44:26.540 --> 0:44:27.400

Neal, Barbara
That's probably true.

0:44:27.550 --> 0:44:28.120

White, Paul
Or excuse me?

0:44:28.130 --> 0:44:30.940

White, Paul
Or should I said that wrong more vulnerable than email?

0:44:31.770 --> 0:44:32.50

Neal, Barbara
Right.

0:44:31.990 --> 0:44:32.570

White, Paul
What I meant?

0:44:34.760 --> 0:44:35.50

Neal, Barbara
Umm.

0:44:34.190 --> 0:44:39.50

Ron Kumetz (Guest)
Fortunately, none of us lives in in the town of Grand Isle, where they no longer get mail distribution.

0:44:39.580 --> 0:44:39.880

White, Paul
Right.

0:44:40.300 --> 0:44:43.230

Neal, Barbara
Ah, that is true.

0:44:55.50 --> 0:44:55.230

White, Paul
Yep.

0:44:44.540 --> 0:44:57.150

Neal, Barbara
Umm, why don't we do this if I can get confirmation on how quickly the email addresses can be set up and if they can be set up by, say, Friday, we could distribute that way.

0:44:57.160 --> 0:45:3.380

Neal, Barbara
If not, we could go to the Plan B, Plan B being the distributing by mail.

0:45:7.940 --> 0:45:8.140

White, Paul
OK.

0:45:8.130 --> 0:45:8.790

Neal, Barbara
Does that make sense?

0:45:9.250 --> 0:45:10.410

White, Paul
Yep, good with that.

0:45:9.730 --> 0:45:10.610

Neal, Barbara
OK, alright.

0:45:11.850 --> 0:45:21.100

Neal, Barbara
With all of that said, it would probably be a good idea for each of you to email Cali the address to which you would like these things to be sent.

0:45:21.190 --> 0:45:27.600

Neal, Barbara
If we if we end up going that that route, whether that's home or office or what have you, OK?

0:45:29.990 --> 0:45:30.350

Neal, Barbara
OK.

0:45:33.290 --> 0:45:39.600

Neal, Barbara
Any other questions, comments, concerns from Task Force members on the RFP status and timeline?

0:45:46.410 --> 0:45:51.440

Neal, Barbara
Hearing none, we will move now to public comment or the rest of public comment.

0:45:51.450 --> 0:46:1.740

Neal, Barbara
I guess we could say if there's members of the public President who wish to comment and you're able to, you could raise your hand in the teams application.

0:46:4.240 --> 0:46:4.620

Neal, Barbara
Let's see.

0:46:4.630 --> 0:46:5.480

Neal, Barbara
Who do we have?

0:46:9.660 --> 0:46:13.80

Neal, Barbara

It looks like Kim Cheney has dropped off.

0:46:14.80 --> 0:46:16.890

Neal, Barbara

Mr Sisk has dropped off Steven.

0:46:16.900 --> 0:46:18.660

Neal, Barbara

Do you have any additional public comment?

0:46:29.60 --> 0:46:31.60

Neal, Barbara

Steven, if you're speaking, we can't hear you.

0:46:31.70 --> 0:46:32.80

Neal, Barbara

You're you're could be muted.

0:46:42.320 --> 0:46:43.920

Neal, Barbara

OK, I'm not hearing anything.

0:46:43.930 --> 0:46:47.790

Neal, Barbara

So we will move off public there we go.

0:46:46.140 --> 0:46:50.160

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Yeah, it's because you muted me and I couldn't unmute myself from the telephone.

0:46:50.660 --> 0:46:51.710

Neal, Barbara

Ohh, OK alright.

0:46:51.220 --> 0:46:51.730

White, Paul

Ah.

0:46:51.720 --> 0:46:52.890

Neal, Barbara

Steven, go ahead.

0:46:54.40 --> 0:47:36.670

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Ohh, a couple of things that this that the RFP, I I, I'm glad that you realized you might need to revisit the confidentiality statement or policy because it you didn't address unless you hire independent counsel you didn't address the chronic problem with DPS lawyers stalling public records using a Supreme

Court decision that said that three day and 10 day deadlines in statute don't apply anymore, they're just advisory and that has been abused by the DPS lawyers to such a gross degree that it would basically eviscerates public records law.

0:47:36.780 --> 0:47:43.850

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

This is going to be a fast moving process and it's going to need a lot of public engagement and scrutiny, which brings me to my next point.

0:47:43.860 --> 0:48:38.300

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

How does this public safety communications plan interface and integrate with the other telecommunications planning going on in Vermont and that that is if you look at 30 VSA 202 C for policy and goals and 30 VSA 202 D for the telecommunications plan that called the 10 year telecommunications plan that process is a very transparent publicly engaged process of preliminary drafts public hearings reviews comments on the preliminary draft responses from the department to comments on the preliminary draft final draft and then a joint committee hearing of the Legislature to review and take testimony or take comments on the final draft and whether it should be adopted in effect.

0:48:38.310 --> 0:48:52.820

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

The planning work that this task force is being forced to do by hurt, time, urgency and dysfunction in other departments needs to follow that level of transparency and engagement.

0:48:52.830 --> 0:48:57.860

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

And you won't do it by locking down every detail in secrets and delays.

0:48:58.290 --> 0:49:3.480

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

You you need to find a way to engage with the first responders who aren't gonna be.

0:49:5.510 --> 0:49:15.20

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

It's sophisticated in either technology or policy and and really give them documents that they can review and understand.

0:49:15.130 --> 0:49:23.420

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

So that brings me to my second point, which is the role of the Emergency Communications Advisory Council.

0:49:23.710 --> 0:49:49.10

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Now that Council was created by executive order probably four years ago, and it was never appointed, the people were the the positions of that Council taking over from the public safety Broadband Network Commission, which steered us into this uh Firstnet, uh boondoggle, was never appointed.

0:49:49.80 --> 0:50:15.530

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

So we've done without an emergency Communications Advisory Council or a vacant emergency Communications Advisory Council for the last four years now related there is this 10 year telecommunications plan that I've just called your attention to the new Vermont Community Broadband Board is writing its own plan called referencing a federal program called Bead BAD.

0:50:16.180 --> 0:50:20.90

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

They have also created a middle mile fiber plan.

0:50:20.280 --> 0:50:28.180

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

All of this implicates how we're gonna get connectivity to public safety radios and cellular transmitters.

0:50:28.670 --> 0:50:35.620

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

All of that requires resilient redundant self healing rings of connectivity.

0:50:36.900 --> 0:50:59.160

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

There's the the operability statewide communications emergency, statewide interoperability plan, that Department of Public Safety is responsible for, and the last one I think was done in 2018 or 19, and it had missing chapters referenced in bright red in the tables in the back.

0:50:59.430 --> 0:51:33.480

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

So what I'm recommending is that the all the principles in government that this task force, uh, request, take formal action and request that the executive order be revised to include all the parties from all these plans as an Advisory Council to inform and advise the work of this task force and separately advise the Department of Public Service which is responsible for the 10 year telecom plan.

0:51:34.50 --> 0:51:51.610

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Ultimately, all these plans will be woven into one actionable and rapidly revisable plan, but we need to have the radio technology services had from public safety on an Advisory Council.

0:51:51.620 --> 0:51:58.930

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

We need to have 100 Thompson from the Department of Public Service responsible for the 10 year telecom plan on an Advisory Council.

0:51:58.940 --> 0:52:10.710

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

We need to have the National Guard who does last resort emergency communications on an Advisory Council, and basically everyone needs to lay their cards on the table.

0:52:10.800 --> 0:52:39.210

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

What their initiatives are, look for synergies, look for cost savings and uh opportunities to support the work of this task force and the way to do that is to recommend that remodeling of the Emergency Communications Advisory Council to make sure that we've covered all of these different initiatives and make sure that the cellular infrastructure that's put in as a result of this task force planning.

0:52:39.810 --> 0:52:49.960

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Uh meets not only the current, but the future needs of veterans in the department, Agency of Transportation for Connectivity along the highways.

0:52:49.970 --> 0:52:54.40

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Not just for an emergency voice call, but for autonomous vehicles.

0:52:54.710 --> 0:53:7.760

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

So there's a a real we're playing catch up or decades behind in integrated planning for telecommunications and it's impacting our our economy, it's impacting our resiliency preparedness.

0:53:7.930 --> 0:53:9.910

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Just one briefcase in point.

0:53:10.510 --> 0:53:26.950

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

A man, a cognitively disabled man, was stranded in an apartment above the filling station at Bailey and West State Street, and the police had moved their dispatch operation up to Berlin Hill and the administrative lines didn't transfer.

0:53:27.0 --> 0:53:33.890

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

So I spent over a day wading through water trying to get through to the police to tell him to send a boat for that guy.

0:53:34.210 --> 0:53:51.30

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

And that's just one example, and I'm sure there are many, so I would ask you to put this on the agenda for the next meeting and I've got some draft language in scope for that, that this is not a new idea.

0:53:51.80 --> 0:53:52.260

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

It it was proposed.

0:53:52.460 --> 0:53:56.310

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

The earlier it's just never been acted upon.

0:54:0.140 --> 0:54:0.600

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

What else?

0:54:1.980 --> 0:54:9.730

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

The request for proposals needs to not be limited to the literal.

0:54:9.740 --> 0:54:18.580

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

It needs to be for ohh I still don't hear whether there's two requests for proposals, one for a project manager and one for all the subject matter expert inventories etcetera.

0:54:19.360 --> 0:54:19.830

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Uh.

0:54:20.860 --> 0:54:23.670

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Originally it was this legislation was conceived.

0:54:23.680 --> 0:54:42.450

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

I was instrumental in it and it was conceived to have two RFP's and to allow the project manager RFP to be selected first, awarded first, and then have that project manager involved in awarding the the subsequent subject matter expert contracts.

0:54:42.760 --> 0:54:44.570

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

And I've heard no mention of that.

0:54:44.640 --> 0:55:0.880

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

I'm concerned that the task force has not yet gotten on its seat and is not engaging and is basically letting the administration, the two Co chairs and their, you know, backroom staff to hand you a paid account.

0:55:0.890 --> 0:55:1.640

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

Please.

0:55:1.790 --> 0:55:3.840

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

And that's not the way this is supposed to work.

0:55:3.850 --> 0:55:14.250

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

You all need to be deciding how many RFP's who should be preparing, who's ideas, whose eyes should be on them for input, and make sure that they're.

0:55:19.460 --> 0:55:20.50

Michael Doenges

All the jobs.

0:55:14.490 --> 0:55:21.100

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

If you get a faulty RFP out there, you're you're, uh, derailing the project from the get go.

0:55:23.270 --> 0:55:25.730

bb0fa822-47c0-4a52-9167-e5d42b26acda

So that's probably enough for now.

0:55:27.790 --> 0:55:28.50

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:55:28.900 --> 0:55:29.710

Neal, Barbara

Thank you, Steven.

0:55:30.280 --> 0:55:38.170

Neal, Barbara

And it did make note of several recommendations in your comments, and if you have them in writing, that would be helpful.

0:55:38.700 --> 0:55:40.450

Neal, Barbara

And we appreciate your input.

0:55:41.570 --> 0:55:43.950

Neal, Barbara

Umm, any further public comment?

0:55:44.670 --> 0:55:47.660

Neal, Barbara

I don't think we have any other members of the public here, but just in case.

0:55:50.840 --> 0:55:51.470

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:55:51.700 --> 0:55:58.210

Neal, Barbara

We are on to new business which will include the setting of the next meeting date.

0:55:58.760 --> 0:56:1.900

Neal, Barbara

Any new business from Task Force members?

0:56:5.220 --> 0:56:7.540

White, Paul

I guess I have a based on Stevens comments.

0:56:7.550 --> 0:56:8.350

White, Paul

I do have a question.

0:56:8.360 --> 0:56:16.0

White, Paul

I guess the he he brought up the idea of there being actually two RFP's, one for a project manager, one for subject matter experts.

0:56:16.280 --> 0:56:24.880

White, Paul

Can you give us a sneak preview of what is the the the draft RFP that we're going to be receiving, hopefully within the coming week?

0:56:24.890 --> 0:56:26.80

White, Paul

Is that what are we?

0:56:26.90 --> 0:56:27.910

White, Paul

Look, what are we actually looking for in that draft?

0:56:28.810 --> 0:56:48.400

Neal, Barbara

So that the draft RFP that I've been speaking of today and has been being developed all along is more to the subject matter expertise, which would include project manager, project management from the vendor, which it may be distinct.

0:56:48.940 --> 0:56:55.640

Neal, Barbara

In fact, I think is distinct from project management for the task force, right?

0:56:56.40 --> 0:56:56.310

White, Paul

Right.

0:56:55.690 --> 0:57:4.70

Neal, Barbara

So I think what I will do is go, but we'll get you a clear update on we'll put that on the agenda.

0:57:4.440 --> 0:57:4.750

White, Paul

Come.

0:57:4.130 --> 0:57:18.420

Neal, Barbara

If I'll recommend to to the Commissioner that that be a a agenda item for next week to get that cleared up on have that discussion about where we need to go with the project management piece of it as well.

0:57:22.290 --> 0:57:23.310

Neal, Barbara

Anything else?

0:57:28.20 --> 0:57:35.200

Neal, Barbara

OK, umm, setting of a new meeting date by can be so bold.

0:57:35.210 --> 0:57:40.540

Neal, Barbara

I would recommend we meet next Wednesday the 26th at 11:15.

0:57:46.630 --> 0:57:47.340

Neal, Barbara

That work for everyone.

0:57:47.690 --> 0:57:48.550

White, Paul

Yeah, that's good with me.

0:57:52.20 --> 0:57:52.360

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:57:53.120 --> 0:57:54.160

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

That looks good for me.

0:57:54.780 --> 0:57:55.490

Neal, Barbara

OK, good.

0:57:55.310 --> 0:57:56.340

Michael Wright

Send send that day.

0:57:56.350 --> 0:57:57.410

Michael Wright

But what was the date again?

0:57:55.500 --> 0:58:1.110

Neal, Barbara

Thank you, Ron, 26th Wednesday the 26th at 11:15.

0:58:4.370 --> 0:58:6.160

Michael Wright

You may not work for me.

0:58:6.170 --> 0:58:7.710

Michael Wright

I'll be traveling back from a conference.

0:58:8.220 --> 0:58:13.670

Neal, Barbara

OK, I think we should pick a time that works for as many of us here on the call as possible.

0:58:13.680 --> 0:58:17.720

Neal, Barbara

So let's traveling back from a conference.

0:58:17.730 --> 0:58:19.780

Neal, Barbara

So that kind of eliminates the the day.

0:58:22.320 --> 0:58:23.360

Neal, Barbara

The entire day of Wednesday.

0:58:23.870 --> 0:58:24.300

Michael Wright

We might be.

0:58:24.310 --> 0:58:25.140

Michael Wright

I'll do it late.

0:58:25.210 --> 0:58:27.810

Michael Wright

Later, is there another time that works?

0:58:28.670 --> 0:58:30.60

Michael Wright

Maybe 1/2?

0:58:32.860 --> 0:58:36.770

Michael Doenges

I could do 2 or I could do the following day at either of those times.

0:58:38.570 --> 0:58:42.950

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

I could do the following day, but I'm likely to be busy later on in that day.

0:58:44.640 --> 0:58:44.780

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:58:47.980 --> 0:58:51.890

Neal, Barbara

What does the 27th look like for everyone?

0:58:52.770 --> 0:58:56.280

Neal, Barbara

Say just to keep things simple, I suppose we could say 11:15.

0:59:0.0 --> 0:59:0.870

Neal, Barbara

I'm seeing nods.

0:59:1.40 --> 0:59:1.550

Neal, Barbara

Yes.

0:59:1.990 --> 0:59:2.590

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:59:2.740 --> 0:59:4.820

Neal, Barbara

Ron, you said that will work for you as well.

0:59:5.820 --> 0:59:6.470

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

Yes, I think so.

0:59:7.480 --> 0:59:7.800

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:59:11.830 --> 0:59:12.560

Neal, Barbara

I'm going to.

0:59:12.610 --> 0:59:24.730

Neal, Barbara

I'm going to hope that there's no conflict with the Commissioner, cause I think would be important for her to be here, but we will set the meeting, Callie, for September 27th at 11:15.

0:59:27.940 --> 0:59:35.690

Neal, Barbara

If we are able to get all these RFP's distributed or when we're able to get that too, that does allow us an extra day so that maybe good for a couple of reasons.

0:59:35.860 --> 0:59:36.230

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:59:36.240 --> 0:59:39.130

Neal, Barbara

So Thursday, September 27th at 11:15.

0:59:42.860 --> 0:59:44.230

Neal, Barbara

I'm sorry, what did I say?

0:59:44.240 --> 0:59:44.790

Neal, Barbara

September.

0:59:44.730 --> 0:59:45.200

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

September.

0:59:41.150 --> 0:59:45.400

Audet, Cally

July 27 with something.

0:59:43.380 --> 0:59:46.20

White, Paul

Yes. Yeah.

0:59:49.100 --> 0:59:50.50

Michael Doenges

Getting ahead of yourself.

0:59:48.20 --> 0:59:50.70

Neal, Barbara

Yeah, I guess it July would be better.

0:59:50.430 --> 0:59:50.840

Michael Doenges

That's nice.

0:59:50.190 --> 0:59:50.950

Neal, Barbara

Thank you.

0:59:51.10 --> 0:59:55.70

Neal, Barbara

July would probably be move the work along a little quicker, so sorry about that.

0:59:55.80 --> 0:59:57.330

Neal, Barbara

I'm not quite sure where I got September.

0:59:58.910 --> 1:0:1.630

Neal, Barbara

Anyway, OK.

1:0:1.750 --> 1:0:4.120

Neal, Barbara

Anything else from task force members?

1:0:8.420 --> 1:0:8.860

Neal, Barbara

All right.

1:0:9.260 --> 1:0:13.0

Neal, Barbara

I will take a motion to adjourn if we are at that point.

1:0:12.620 --> 1:0:14.220

Michael Doenges

So moved some moved.

1:0:14.720 --> 1:0:15.40

White, Paul

2nd.

1:0:15.170 --> 1:0:16.500

Neal, Barbara

Mayor mayor moved.

1:0:16.890 --> 1:0:18.40

Neal, Barbara

Michael, was that you?

1:0:19.430 --> 1:0:19.620

Michael Wright

Or.

1:0:18.180 --> 1:0:23.740

Neal, Barbara

Second, OK, OK, all in favor.

1:0:24.550 --> 1:0:24.970

Michael Doenges

Aye.

1:0:25.160 --> 1:0:25.420

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

Bye.

1:0:25.380 --> 1:0:25.630

White, Paul

Right.

1:0:25.960 --> 1:0:28.410

Neal, Barbara

I OK anyone opposed?

1:0:30.20 --> 1:0:30.460

Neal, Barbara

We are.

1:0:30.520 --> 1:0:31.450

Neal, Barbara

We are adjourned.

1:0:32.150 --> 1:0:33.0

White, Paul

OK.

1:0:31.510 --> 1:0:33.10

Neal, Barbara

Thank you very much, everybody.

1:0:33.200 --> 1:0:33.750

White, Paul

Thank you, Bob.

1:0:33.300 --> 1:0:35.300

Neal, Barbara

We'll talk to you next next week. OK.

1:0:35.830 --> 1:0:36.100

White, Paul

I.

1:0:34.380 --> 1:0:36.230

Michael Doenges

Thanks, right.

1:0:36.590 --> 1:0:36.790

Neal, Barbara

Bye bye.